The effect of incorporation of SDF-1α into PLGA scaffolds on stem cell recruitment and the inflammatory response
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ABSTRACT

Despite significant advances in the understanding of tissue responses to biomaterials, most implants are still plagued by inflammatory responses which can lead to fibrotic encapsulation. This is of dire consequence in tissue engineering, where seeded cells and bioactive components are separated from the native tissue, limiting the regenerative potential of the design. Additionally, these interactions prevent desired tissue integration and angiogenesis, preventing functionality of the design. Recent evidence supports that mesenchymal stem cells (MSC) and hematopoietic stem cells (HSC) can have beneficial effects which alter the inflammatory responses and improve healing. The purpose of this study was to examine whether stem cells could be targeted to the site of biomaterial implantation and whether increasing local stem cell responses could improve the tissue response to PLGA scaffold implants. Through incorporation of SDF-1α through factor adsorption and mini-osmotic pump delivery, the host-derived stem cell response can be improved resulting in 3X increase in stem cell populations at the interface for up to 2 weeks. These interactions were found to significantly alter the acute mast cell responses, reducing the number of mast cells and degranulated mast cells near the scaffold implants. This led to subsequent downstream reduction in the inflammatory cell responses, and through altered mast cell activation and stem cell participation, increased angiogenesis and decreased fibrotic responses to the scaffold implants. These results support that enhanced recruitment of autologous stem cells can improve the tissue responses to biomaterial implants through modifying/bypassing inflammatory cell responses and jumpstarting stem cell participation in healing at the implant interface.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Tissue engineering is a discipline of regenerative medicine for which the basic goal is to provide a temporary matrix to replace extracellular matrix upon which cells can be seeded and synthesize new ECM as the temporary matrix degrades [1]. Despite considerable advancements in biomaterial synthesis and modification techniques, most TE scaffolds, both acellular and seeded, elicit fibrotic reactions resulting in encapsulation of the implant. Such fibrotic reactions often hinder the vascularization of scaffold implants which leads to a bare necrotic core in cell seeded constructs [2]. It is generally believed that controlled wound healing and angiogenesis are critical to the short-term survival/behavior and long-term functionality/integration of seeded cells [3]. For cells to survive in vivo, it has been estimated that cells must reside within 200μm of a capillary bed [4]. Indeed, many studies have shown that cells seeded below the scaffold exterior surface do not survive and require some degree of prevascularization in vitro to survive in vivo [5]. In a recent investigation, mesenchymal stem cells (MSC) seeded by various methods onto scaffolds implanted subcutaneously showed the majority of cells (~75% depending on seeding method) die within 2 weeks [6]. To improve cell survival and functionality, better approaches to reduce fibrotic tissue formation associated with biomaterial implants is urgently required.

To minimize fibrotic reactions to implants, the majority of the past and current research focuses on reducing cell:material interactions. However, the major drawback of this approach is that scaffold implants induce very little short term cell infiltration, resulting in cell buildup at the tissue:material interface, inducing a significant fibrotic response effectively walling off the biomaterial implant. Thus the ability to control the extent and duration of inflammatory response has emerged as a critical design parameter which may ultimately dictate the success of TE designs in vivo [7]. However, traditional anti-inflammatory treatments, such as the use of dexamethasone, may impair wound healing and tissue regeneration [8]. There is still a need for the development of novel treatment to reduce fibrotic reactions while to promote tissue regeneration and angiogenesis.
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Due to their unique pluripotency and regenerative properties, stem cells have been intensively studied as powerful therapeutic tools for a variety of diseases and conditions. Recently, groups have focused on the beneficial effects of stem cell participation in inflammation, with mounting evidence supporting improved wound healing outcomes possibly through physical and paracrine influences [9]. Following induced injury, local delivery of stem cells has been shown to reduce inflammation, angiogenesis, and to improve function outcomes in many different models [10]. However most of these models employ transplanted exogenous stem cells. These approaches are complicated by many limitations due to cell sources, expense to achieve sufficient cells for a dose response, xenogenic components necessary to expand the cells, control over the functionality and behavior of these cells, and host vs. graft responses. In addition, transplanted cultured and differentiated stem cells may not respond to the physiological microenvironmental stimuli like circulating stem cells [11]. Since stem cells are recruited to the injury sites to participate in wound healing and tissue regeneration, it is our belief that the complications associated with biomaterial scaffold implantation may be reduced or even eliminated if autologous stem cells are purposefully recruited to the tissue scaffold and implantation sites. Recently, studies have uncovered that the implantation of foreign bodies, including biomaterials, may prompt the recruitment and local engraftment of autologous stem cells [12]. The recruitment of autologous stem cells may be substantially enhanced with localized release of stem cell chemokines. We are specifically interested in stromal derived factor-1 alpha (SDF-1α), since many prior publications have shown that SDF-1α is critical to hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) and MSC recruitment and migration, and can be used to target stem cells to a desired site within the body [13]. Coincidentally, SDF-1α is also involved in the recruitment of inflammatory cells and other types of stem cells including tissue committed stem cells [14]. Based on these observations, we hypothesized that localized release of SDF-1α may facilitate the recruitment of autologous stem cells to tissue engineering scaffolds and subsequently enhance tissue regeneration.

To test the hypothesis, degradable scaffolds capable of locally releasing SDF-1α via physical adsorption (short term release) or osmotic pumps (long-term release), were produced and then implanted in the subcutaneous space of mice. Our objectives were to (1) monitor the effects of SDF-1α on stem cell recruitment, (2) quantify the effects of increased host stem cell responses on the inflammatory response, and (3) examine long-term effects on fibrotic and angiogenic processes on SDF-1α loaded implants.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. PLGA salt-leached scaffold fabrication

PLGA salt-leached scaffolds were fabricated following an established procedure [15]. Briefly, PLGA (75:25) (113 kDa, Medisorb Inc., Birmingham, AL) was dissolved in dichloromethane at 10% (v/v). NaCl (porogen weight fraction of 90%, sieved [15]). Briefly, PLGA scaffolds were immersed in 50 mL (approximate to the scaffold retention volume) of SDF-1α at 1 µg/mL or PBS (as control). To achieve long-term release, 2 week delivery mini-osmotic pumps (Alzet Model 1002, Alza Corporation, Palo Alto, CA) delivering SDF-1α at a rate of 0.25 ng/h were inserted into the center of the scaffold (5 × 5 × 5mm) via a polyvinyl chloride catheter (Alzet, Direct Corporation, Cupertino, CA). The configuration was selected to allow for uniform diffusion of the chemokine through the scaffold into the surrounding tissue space. SDF-1α-loaded scaffolds and controls were placed contra-lateral for short term studies. For long-term studies, SDF-1α pumps and saline control pumps were placed into individual animals.

At the end of the experiments, animals were sacrificed by CO2 inhalation and implants and surrounding tissue was embedded in optimal cutting temperature (OCT) compound (Tissue-Tek, Sakura Finetek, Torrance, CA), and frozen sectioned for histological and immunohistochemical staining and protein array analyses.

2.2. In vitro model of stem cell homing and engraftment

To examine the site directed homing capability of the scaffold:SDF-1α system, a homing and engraftment transwell model was developed. Briefly, scaffolds were injected with 50 µl (approximate to the scaffold retention volume) of SDF-1α (Prospect-Tangi TechnoGene, Beerovot, Israel) at 1 µg/mL or PBS (as control). Our previous studies have found that such method provided the release of SDF-1α for approximately 5 days [16]. Scaffolds were then cut to fit the transwell inserts (3 × 3 × 1mm) in the lower chamber of 8um pore membranes of 24-well plates (Corning Costar, Corning, NY). Primary murine bone marrow-derived MSC were obtained as previously described [17]. Briefly, the femur and tibia bone marrow of 6-8wk old Balb/c was flushed with DMEM containing 20% FBS and plated into 75cm² tissue culture flasks. Non-adherent cells were removed from the culture at day 3, with fresh media supplied at 50% with the remaining 50% conditioned media from which monolayer adherent cells were kept for up to 14 days with subculture upon confluence up to the fourth passage. At fourth passage, MSC were verified for phenotype by positive stain for SSEA-4 and negative expression of CD45 (Santa Cruz Biotech, Santa Cruz, CA). Prior to scaffold placement, bone marrow-derived MSC were labeled with the cell tracer dye CFDA-SE (Invitrogen) and seeded onto transwell inserts. The MSC expansion media was removed and replaced with fresh media in the upper and lower chambers. SDF-1α was allowed to release from the scaffolds into the lower chamber, and the percentage of transmigrated MSC from top chamber to bottom chamber was quantified. Briefly membranes were scraped on the upper side to remove adherent cells, detached from insert, and H&E stained to visualize and quantify cell migration to the lower side of the membrane. To verify cell engraftment, scaffolds were removed from the bottom wells, fixed in cold methanol, and visualized by CFDA-SE staining as previously described [15].

2.3. Animal implantation model and SDF-1α delivery to implanted scaffolds

Scaffolds were implanted in the subcutaneous cavity of Balb/c mice as established by our studies [18]. In preliminary studies, mice were anesthetized with 1.5% isoflurane and the incision was made down the midline of the back. Control PLGA scaffolds were implanted to one side of the incision and the subcutaneous away from the incision. For short term studies, the scaffolds were injected with 50 µl (equivalent to the scaffold retention volume) of SDF-1α at 1 µg/mL or PBS (as control). To achieve long-term release, 2 week delivery mini-osmotic pumps (Alzet Model 1002, Alza Corporation, Palo Alto, CA) delivering SDF-1α at a rate of 0.25 ng/h were inserted into the center of the scaffold (5 × 5 × 5mm) via a polyvinyl chloride catheter (Alzet, Direct Corporation, Cupertino, CA). The configuration was selected to allow for uniform diffusion of the chemokine through the scaffold into the surrounding tissue space. SDF-1α-loaded scaffolds and controls were placed contra-lateral for short term studies. For long-term studies, SDF-1α pumps and saline control pumps were placed into individual animals. Specifically, SSEA4 /CD45 [20] were used to identify MSC. HSC were detected by expression of the markers c-kit, CD34, or Sca-1. Inflammatory cells were identified by CD11b expression [21]. MOMA-2 antibody (Serotec, Oxford, UK) was used to identify macrophage composition in the tissue response to 2 week scaffold implants. The presence of endothelial progenitor cells was assessed by co-expression of CD34 and CD133 [22]. Antibody staining was visualized by immunofluorescence with FITC and Texas Red conjugated secondary antibodies (Prosci, Poway, CA). Staining with 4’6-diamino-2-phenylindole dihydrochloride (DAPI) (Invitrogen; Carlsbad, CA) was used to stain cell nuclei. Unless otherwise stated all primary and secondary antibodies used in this work were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotech (Santa Cruz, CA) and stained according to manufacturer’s recommendations. Stained sections were visualized using a Leica microscope and imaged with a CCD camera (Retiga EXi, Qimaging, Surrey BC, Canada). The measurements of tissue thickness and cell densities at the material/tissue interface were then performed in Image J [23]. Measurement of density and thickness were collected from the average of multiple counts taken from H&E stained cross-sections of the scaffold and surrounding tissue, with images captured on the skin side of the biomaterial interface for each sample (n = 4). For these calculations, thickness of interface capsules was measured from the biometric perpendicular where the capsular tissue met native healthy tissue with the area held constant for all treatment group quantifications. Percentages of fibroblasts in the interface was estimated for all treatment group quantifications.
plots through quantification of spindle shaped cells near the implant in the capsule interface surrounding the scaffolds. Collagen deposition was visualized around the implants by Masson Trichrome staining.

The engraftment of MSC from the blood was quantified using an in vivo model of stem cell mobilization. Briefly, Balb/c mice were implanted with either control or SDF-1α supplemented scaffolds using procedures described previously for longitudinal SDF-1α supplemented scaffold studies. To minimize interference from the incision site and maximize the distance between scaffold treatment groups, scaffolds were placed vertical along the back of the mice opposite to the incision site on the opposite side of the mice. The configuration of implants with respect to upper versus lower position on the back was randomized to account for the effects of implant placement. Bone marrow-derived MSC were recovered from Balb/c mice and purified using plastic adhesion and verified for SSEA-4+/CD45− as outline for in vitro transwell studies. Cells were loaded with Xite 761 (Carestream Health, New Haven CT) at a concentration of 2μM for a period of 3hrs. After detaching MSC, uptake was assessed by NIR fluorescence intensity (excitation-761nm emission-789nm) using Kodak FX Pro imaging system (Carestream). After implantation for 2 days, a 100μL PBS solution containing 1 × 10^6 bone marrow-derived MSC was injected into the tail vein. The location of MSC cells in relation to implanted scaffolds was monitored and fluorescent intensity measurements taken over the region of interest daily until signal subsided.

2.5. Inflammatory protein array

The profile of inflammatory protein production by implant-associated cells was determined using mouse cytokine antibody array III (Raybiotech, Norcross, GA) compared with control implants (n = 3). Briefly, 30 slices of tissue sections from both control and treated groups were incubated with lysis buffer in –80 °C 30 min followed by 30 min at room temperature for 3 cycles to extract proteins produced by cells adjacent to the implants. The protein concentrations in each sample were then determined using bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific Pierce Protein Research Products, Rockford, IL) as recommended by the manufacturer. For the antibody array, 50 μg of each protein sample was used in the mouse cytokine antibody array III following manufacturer’s instruction. Finally, the slides were subjected to image analysis by Axon GenePix 4000B microarray scanner (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) using Cy3 channel. The ratio of relative expression of SDF-1α was assessed by ANOVA (P = 0.05). Differences which registered p < 0.05 were considered significant.

2.6. Statistical analysis

GraphPad (La Jolla, CA) was used for all statistical operations. Difference in group means was assessed using ANOVA (P = 0.05). Differences which registered p < 0.05 were considered significant.

3. Results

3.1. Effects of SDF-1α on MSC homing in vitro

The ability of SDF-1α to induce chemotaxis in vitro was tested using a transwell system as depicted (Fig. 1A). Indeed, SDF-1α release from the PLGA scaffold was able to induce cell migration across the transwell membrane (Fig. 1B). We find that both the addition and interval of SDF-1α correlate to the degree of migrated MSC (Fig. 1C). To further characterize this response, we monitored the SDF-1α releasing scaffolds for cell adherence on the scaffolds using CFDA-SE cell tracing. Indeed, MSC were found to transverse the membrane and engraft on the surface of the PLGA salt-leached scaffolds placed in the lower chamber. Engrafted cells obtained an adherent morphology on the scaffold as shown (Fig. 1D).

3.2. Effects of SDF-1α on stem cell recruitment in vivo

We next sought to determine whether scaffold treatment with SDF-1α could result in measurable alterations in the stem cell response to subcutaneous implant scaffolds. Indeed, after implantation for one week, SDF-1α soaked scaffolds were found to have attracted a substantially larger number of MSC compared with control scaffolds (Fig. 2A and B). Specifically, the density of MSC surrounding SDF-1α soaked scaffolds is about 3 times higher than those associated with control scaffolds at both 3-day and 7-day time points (Fig. 2C). In addition to an increase in interface density, we also find enhanced (2-fold increase) density of MSC within the matrix of SDF-1α soaked scaffold compared with control scaffolds at day 7 (Fig. 2D).

In order to establish whether SDF-1α release was effective at mobilizing MSC from the circulation, an in vivo imaging experiment with NIR labeled MSC was performed. Indeed at 48hrs after injection (3 days implantation), SDF-1α supplemented scaffolds were able to attract and engraft tail vein supplemented MSC based on co-localization of NIR fluorescent signal over the region of scaffold implantation (Fig. 2E).
Since SDF-1α treatment improved MSC responses, and given precedent for SDF-1α mediated HSC chemotactic responses, we quantified the time-scale recruitment of c-kit⁺ HSC to the site of scaffold implantation using fluorescent IHC. Consistent with MSC responses, we observe a progressive increase in c-kit⁺ cell density over the course of the implantation study (Fig. 3A and B). Quantification of these responses on basis of cell density reveals significant increase at time points of 3 days and 7 days compared to unmodified scaffolds (Fig. 3C). To further investigate this response, we quantified the density of other common markers used to identify HSC, namely CD34 and Sca-1, between SDF-1α treatment and control groups. In accordance with c-kit results, we observe a significant week 1 increase in the density of both CD34 and Sca-1 positive cells with respect to control. Quantification reveals a greater than 2-fold increase consistent with c-kit⁺ cells at the same time point (Fig. 3D).

### 3.3. Inflammatory cell and foreign body responses

We next sought to examine whether these interactions had measurable effects on the inflammatory response. Given that our current understanding of the mechanism of foreign body reactions suggests that mast cell activation and its products effect the initial recruitment of inflammatory cells, it is possible that SDF-1α release may also affect mast cell reactions. To test the hypothesis, the densities of mast cells surrounding both scaffold groups were examined at day 3, the time point associated with the first measurable increase in MSC responses. As expected, control scaffolds prompted the recruitment and degranulation of large numbers of mast cells (Fig. 4A). We also found that SDF-1α soaked scaffolds elicited substantially less accumulation of mast cells (mostly non-activated) compared with controls (Fig. 4B). Quantification of density in the reaction tissue reveals an 84% reduction in mast cell density around the treated implants (Fig. 4C). Downstream monitoring of mast cell responses in short-term release implants yielded significant differences in the mast cell response up to 6 weeks, though less substantial than that observed at Day 3.

Since inflammatory cells are the major component of the fibrotic capsule surrounding biomaterial implants, and their participation may be linked to mast cell activation, we hypothesized that altering the stem cell and mast cell responses may reduce the accumulation of inflammatory cells (CD11b⁺ cells) at the interface. Indeed, control implants were found to have a thick, dense band of CD11b⁺ cells (Fig. 4D) while SDF-1α soaked implants have a substantially reduced density of inflammatory cells (Fig. 4E). By quantifying the cell density, we find that SDF-1α soaked implants elicited little influence on inflammatory cell recruitment at day 3. However, SDF-1α release profoundly reduced inflammatory cell accumulation 3-fold less than control implants after one week of implantation (Fig. 4F).

Since inflammatory cells, especially CD11b⁺ macrophages, at the interface participate in the formation of granulation tissue and subsequent fibroblast interactions, we examined the influence of SDF-1α release on tissue responses associated with scaffold implant at 1 week (Fig. 5). First, as anticipated, we found that...
SDF-1α soaked scaffolds exert substantially less inflammatory cell infiltration and granulation tissue formation than control scaffolds at day 7 (Fig. 5A and B). Treated implants have a 2 fold reduction in thickness of the inflammatory infiltrate (Fig. 5C) and a 1 fold decrease in cell density (Fig. 5D) compared to control implants. Based on the cell density calculated in H&E images, we finally quantified the cellular composition at the interface between treatment groups (Fig. 5E). In control scaffolds at week 1, the scaffold interface was composed of approximately 75% inflammatory (CD11b⁺) cells and fibroblasts (residual spindle shaped cells of the interface which do not stain positive for the specified marker set). In contrast, implants treated with SDF-1α prior to implantation leads to a week 1 interface with approximately 75% composition of MSC and HSC.

3.4. SDF-1α on inflammatory cell and stem cell responses

Since physical adsorption leads to early burst release, we investigated an additional supplementation strategy aiming to investigate downstream delivery of SDF-1α during the period immediately prior to increased stem cell presence at the implantation site. Specifically, untreated scaffolds were implanted for 3 days, after which a 100μL SDF-1α solution at 100 ng/mL (labeled as D3) was injected into the center of the scaffold in vivo. Explant analysis at Day 7 reveals unexpectedly that delayed deliver leads to an intermediate response compared to control and scaffolds treated with SDF-1α prior to implantation (labeled as D0) (Fig. 6A and B). SDF-1α treatment at Day 0 and Day 3 resulted in significant increase in MSC engraftment at the scaffold interface compared to no treatment (Fig. 6A), though differences between SDF-1α treatment intervals was not significant. However, delaying the chemokine until Day 3 resulted in an intermediate CD11b⁺ inflammatory cell response, significantly less than control yet greater than treatment prior to implantation (Fig. 6B).

3.5. Effects of sustained delivery of SDF-1α

To analyze cellular and tissue response parameters beyond 7 days, scaffolds were implanted with either saline mini-osmotic pumps as control or pumps delivering SDF-1α at 100 ng/mL for 2 weeks. Similar to pre-implantation soaking, we observe an altered mast cell response around the scaffold implants after 2 weeks. In the absence of SDF-1α, control scaffolds we surrounded by degranulated mast cells (Fig. 7A). However, the SDF-1α pump implants had a reduced total presence of mast cells and very few degranulated mast cells (Fig. 7B). These differences were quantified as a 59% reduction in mast cell response and a 75% decrease in degranulated mast cells at day 14 (Fig. 7C) with respect to control implants.
Given that current models of biomaterial-mediated inflammatory responses suggest long-term effect of macrophages on foreign body reactions, we used antibodies specific to murine macrophages as an additional comparison against the more general CD11b+ marker which may include other cell types such as neutrophils. As previously shown in inflammatory cell quantifications for mini-osmotic pump interfaces, the density of CD11b+ cells at the implant interfaces is much higher in control scaffold (Fig. 7D) compared to SDF-1α pumps (Fig. 7E). As expected, this trend is also apparent with regard to macrophages, as control scaffolds have a higher density of macrophages surrounding implants (Fig. 7F) compared to SDF-1α scaffolds (Fig. 7G) which have a lower density with distribution restricted to small pockets along the skin side of the scaffold interface.

We next examined the relationship which emerged in soaked studies between MSC responses and CD11b+ inflammatory cell responses. First, as expected, the engraftment of MSC was significantly higher in SDF-1α treated scaffolds as opposed to controls. Interestingly, the same stem cell: inflammatory cell trend was present in the pump implants, where MSC density is elevated with reduced inflammatory cell density with respect to control at 2 weeks (Fig. 7H). In addition, comparison of the inflammatory cell density between control saline and SDF-1α mini-osmotic pumps reveals a significant decrease with respect to untreated control.

Histological analyses show onset of granulation tissue in control implants with a multi-cell thick granulocyte and fibroblast layer forming between the implants and surrounding tissue. In contrast, SDF-1α pump implants have reduced cell density and a less organized tissue capsule around the implants. We hypothesized that altered inflammatory cell responses at the interface may be affecting the deposition of collagen around the implants at 2 weeks as part of the initiation of the fibrotic response. Control scaffolds show a characteristic 2 week fibrotic response with a thick layer of collagen deposition surrounding the scaffold implants (Fig. 7I). In contrast, SDF-1α treated scaffolds had a very thin layer of collagen formation compared with controls (Fig. 7J). Interestingly, we also found a 35% reduction in capsule thickness (data not shown) and 60% reduction in capsular cell density (Fig. 7K) in SDF-1α scaffold connected implants.

As previously mentioned, 2 week control implants were characterized by a thick encapsulating cell layer as part of the developing fibrotic tissue around the scaffold implants. Interestingly, in conjunction with the absence of this multi-cell thick granulation tissue, SDF-1α pump implants also had many vessels organized throughout the interface between the native tissue and the scaffold (Fig. 8A). Notably, this vessel formation in the interface at 2 weeks was less evident in control scaffolds. However, an aim of biomaterial scaffold integration in tissue engineering is to induce vessel formation not only at the interface, but within the scaffold to initiate cell infiltration and mineralization. The difference in vessel formation inside the scaffold matrix was therefore quantified as a measure of enhanced intra-scaffold angiogenesis. Since an increased number of vessels at the interface were observed in the treatment group, sections were stained with CD31 to verify endothelial cell phenotype. For both treatment conditions, vessels identified in H&E staining were confirmed in subsequent sections as expressing CD31. SDF-1α pump samples showed over a 3-fold increase in the number of vessels within the scaffold matrix accompanied by a deeper vessel penetration, compared to control scaffolds initiating non-uniform vessel formation restricted to the edges of the scaffold (Fig. 8B).

This led us to consider that the differences in CD11b+ cell responses and macrophage responses may be due to altered activation of the macrophages, leading to macrophage participation in other aspects of wound healing. Recent evidence suggests that bone marrow-derived macrophages participate in angiogenesis and...
lymphogenesis at the sites of inflammation [24,25]. We thus investigated the potential role of bone marrow-derived macrophages in scaffold-associated tissue responses based on the co-expression of CD11b<sup>+</sup> VEGFR-1<sup>+</sup> (mononuclear myeloid cells with the capacity to induce proangiogenic activities) [24] and CD11b<sup>+</sup> LYVE-1<sup>+</sup> (macrophages which participate in lymphangiogenesis in healing tissue) [25]. Interestingly, we observe little participation of CD11b<sup>+</sup> VEGFR1<sup>+</sup> cells in control implants (Fig. 8C). However, at sites of

Fig. 5. Localized SDF-1α release alters the tissue response to scaffold implants and influences cellular composition at the tissue interface. The histological appearance (H&E stain) of the cell response reveals a substantial accumulation of cells at the interface of control scaffolds (A), with a much lower density evident in SDF-1α treated scaffolds (B). The thickness of the cell layer (C) and the density of cells in the response region (D) were quantified at Day 7 and compared between groups, revealing significant decreases in the SDF-1α group for both parameters, Student t-test (P < 0.05). Based on the quantified cell density in H&E images, the population MSC (SSEA-4<sup>-</sup> CD45<sup>-</sup>), HSC (c-kit<sup>+</sup> cells), inflammatory cells (CD11b<sup>+</sup>), and fibroblasts (spindle shaped cells residing near the implant interface which do not stain positive for the aforementioned markers) were plotted, revealing substantial alteration in the cell composition between treatment groups (E), Student t-test between cell grouping (P < 0.05 as labeled with bracket and asterisk).

Fig. 6. SDF-1α imparts time and duration dependent alterations in the stem cell and inflammatory cell responses. Single injection at Day 3 following implantation (D3) results in an intermediate level of MSC (SSEA-4<sup>-</sup> CD45<sup>-</sup>) cells (A) between no treatment and pre-implantation SDF-1α treatment (D0). Brackets represent significant ANOVA (P < 0.05) with (*) indicating significant Dunnnett’s test (P < 0.05). The density of CD11b<sup>+</sup> cells (B) also assumes an intermediate value between no treatment and pre-treatment with SDF-1α. Brackets represent significant ANOVA (P < 0.05) with (*) indicating significant Bonferroni test (P < 0.05), intergroup brackets represent significance between SDF-1α treatment intervals (P < 0.05).
vessel formation in SDF-1α treated implants we observe co-staining identifying these cells in the presence of budding vessels (Fig. 8C). In addition, we see a few CD11b+LYVE-1+ cells in control scaffolds (Fig. 8D), with these cells expressed at specific locations throughout the interface in SDF-1α treated scaffolds (Fig. 8D). This suggests that inflammatory cells have been primed to participate in integrating host tissue with implant to a much higher degree than that observed in untreated implants.

Given the pluripotency of induced host-derived stem cells engrafted at the interface based on marker expression in SDF-1α treated scaffolds, we hypothesized that these cells may be participating in the observed vessel budding at the interface and within scaffold implants. Using 2 week SDF-1α pump implants from which we observe improved angiogenesis in histological analysis, we additionally analyzed interfaces for endothelial progenitor cell (EPC) engraftment. Indeed both within the cross-section (Fig. 8E) and at the interface of SDF-1α scaffolds (Fig. 8F) we observe vessel-like formations with cells staining CD34+CD133+ consistent with an EPC phenotype.

3.6. Effect of SDF-1α on inflammatory cytokine profile

Given that studies suggested a complex relationship between inflammatory cells, fibroblasts, and granulation tissue and...
subsequent fibrosis responses, and SDF-1α release appears to alter these processes, we determined the characteristics of the local cytokine/chemokine environment using protein micro-arrays. In agreement with histological evaluation, we have found that the presence of SDF-1α reduced the production of a variety of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, including IL-13, IL-3 Rβ, IL-4, IL-9, Leptin R, L-selectin, Lymphotactin, MIP-3α/β, TCA3/CCL1, and TNFα (Table 1). Specifically, in support of altered mast cell responses we find the mast cell growth factors IL-3, IL-4, and IL-9 are substantially downregulated.

Most convincing of these cytokine comparisons is the uniform decrease in cytokines associated with macrophage activation in SDF-1α scaffolds. Cytokines involved in macrophage activation including CD40 (>2X), TNFα (>2), IFNγ (>1.5), IL-4 (>2), IL-10 (>2), IL-13 (>5), IL-1β (>1.2), and Lymphotactin (>3) are decrease in SDF-1α scaffolds with respect to control (Table 1).

Fig. 8. SDF-1α treatment improves EPC engraftment and participation of macrophage subsets in angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis. H&E staining was used to examine the cellular response at the interface. Control scaffolds have a thick, dense cellular response (A) at the interface while SDF-1α group has a weaker cell response with the appearance of budding vessels at the interface. Quantification of vessel density within the scaffold implants reveals a significant difference in the degree of vessel formation (B). Student t-test (P < 0.05). Control scaffolds also have few cells co-expressing CD11b (green) and VEGFR1 (red) (C) in agreement with a low number of budding vessels. However, we do find the groups of cells expressing these markers in SDF-1α scaffolds. Control scaffolds have few cells staining positive for CD11b (green) LYVE-1 (red) (D) which are involved in lymphogenesis, while SDF-1α have organized groups of cells staining positive. The presence of EPC cells inside the scaffold (E) and at the interface (F) of SDF-1α modified scaffolds was monitored by expression of CD34+ (green) CD133+ (red) markers.
In this study we investigated whether host-derived stem cells could be triggered to home and engraft to scaffold implants to improve biomaterial-mediated tissue responses through treatment with a stem cell chemokine, SDF-1α. In addition, we hypothesized that this process, if successful, may alter inflammatory and fibrotic outcomes. Although SDF-1α has shown stem cell chemotactic properties in vitro [13], it has remained controversial as to whether MSC migration in response to SDF-1α supplementation could be achieved. To test our hypothesis, two different strategies, physical adsorption for short term release and osmotic pump for longer term release, were employed. In support of our assumption, both soaking and pump delivery strategies were found to substantially increase the number of MSC (SSEA-4+/CD45−+) at the implantation site of PLGA scaffolds over the course of the inflammatory response. The extent and length of MSC recruitment depends heavily on the duration of SDF-1α release, since pump implants produced magnified and extended MSC recruitment (Fig. 7H) than soaked implants (Fig. 2D) which showed little difference in MSC density by the second week. Interestingly, we find that, when administered at day 0, SDF-1α decreases inflammatory cell recruitment and enhances MSCs migration. However, delayed SDF-1α delivery (at day 3), coinciding with the maximal inflammatory response, was found to increase MSC recruitment with no influence on inflammatory responses. These results suggest that SDF-1α can reduce, but not reverse, the inflammatory responses.

In addition to enhanced MSC recruitment, we also observed increased HSC (c-kit+) recruitment to SDF-1α soaked and pump implants (data not shown). HSC, along with MSC, express the receptor CXCR4 [13,14] and are held in the bone marrow through CXCL12-CXCR4 interactions [26]. The gradients of exogenous SDF-1α can lead to the stem cell mobilization and homing [26]. Of interest, with pump implants, the delivery of SDF-1α substantially increases the recruitment of both HSC and MSC at the interface 2 weeks after implantation. Recently, it was shown that in the absence of injury, the addition of exogenous SDF-1α does not stimulate stem cell migration [27]. Therefore, the inflammatory stimuli due to scaffold implantation in combination with SDF-1α is likely the factor leading to increases beyond those stem cells normally recruited to participate in healing. Many proteins and cytokines are up- or down-regulated by implant-associated tissue exposed to SDF-1α. However, it has yet to be determined by what potential mechanism SDF-1α affects the release of other stem cell chemokines, though we hypothesize that this may be related to altered inflammatory cell responses.

When examining the inflammatory responses to SDF-1α treated scaffolds, we were surprised to find a significant decrease in the density and activation of mast cells accompanied by a downstream decrease in the density of inflammatory (CD11b+) cells in the subcutaneous space around the implant. Since foreign body reactions are initiated by implant-associated mast cell recruitment and activation [18], it is possible that exogenous SDF-1α may directly or indirectly alter the extent of mast cell responses. Indeed, we find that the release of SDF-1α substantially reduces mast cell recruitment and activation. Based on several lines of evidence, we believe that an SDF-1α-mediated reduction of mast cell reactions is likely due to interactions for which a detailed mechanism has not yet been developed. Receptor expression studies have shown that mast cell progenitors express CXCR4 and both mature and progenitor mast cells respond to SDF-1α gradients in vitro [28]. This suggests that CXCR4 may be, at least partially, responsible for mast cell chemotaxis to peripheral tissues [29]. Interestingly however, recent evidence has shown that mast cell treatment with SDF-1α in vitro does not stimulate degranulation, instead selectively stimulates production of IL-8, a mast cell product responsible for initiating neutrophil chemotaxis to the site of inflammation [30]. However, in this study, SDF-1α treatment groups had uniform decrease in CD11b+ cells, which include neutrophils. On the other hand, supplementation of SDF-1α after peak mast cell degranulation.
leads to less prominent effects on both host MSC recruitment and the reduction in CD11b+ cells recruited to the implantation site. This suggests a more complex interaction than that observed for SDF-1α in vitro with mast cells. Finally, our protein analysis reveals significant reduction in some of the chemokines, such as CCR-2/CXCL10, TCA-3/CCL1, lymphphatin/CXCL1, leptin receptor, IL-13 which have been shown to trigger mast cell migration [31–33].

The release of SDF-1α was also found to reduce many potent proinflammatory cytokines, including TNFα, IL-1β, MIP3α/β, lymphphatin/CXCL1, L-selectin, leptin receptor, IL-9, IL-5, IL-10, Eotaxin-2, CTACK/CCL27, CRG-2/CXCL10, CD30L. Reduction in these cytokines may be responsible for the less destructive inflammatory reactions to scaffold implants [34–36]. Though interestingly, many proinflammatory cytokines which have been linked with stem cell responses and angiogenesis were highly upregulated in SDF-1α treated implants, specifically MIP-2 (120.6X), GCSF (81.9X), GM-CSF (49.6X), KC (8.6X), MIP-1α (24.4X) and IL-6 (13.5X). In addition, decreases in inflammatory cell engulfment, downregulation in cytokines related to macrophage activation, and the presence of macrophage subsets related angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis suggests that stem cell interactions and the presence of SDF-1α is likely improving tissue responses to the biomaterial increasing tissue compatibility. These results suggest a potentially complex interaction between SDF-1α, inflammatory responses and stem cell responses.

Since biomaterial-mediated inflammatory responses are often followed with fibrotic tissue reactions [37], it is not surprising to find that SDF-1α treatment reduces not only inflammatory responses but also capsule formation surrounding scaffold implants. It is also possible that SDF-1α treatment may have direct influence on the extent of collagen production. Indeed, our protein array results have shown that IL-13, a cytokine implicated in fibroblast proliferation and collagen production [38], is substantially reduced in tissue exposed to SDF-1α. In addition, our results have shown that SDF-1α treatment reduce Fas Ligand production (3.94X). It should be noted that Fas Ligand appears to be involved in biomaterial-mediated fibrosis [39], and reduced Fas Ligand has been shown to attenuate fibrotic tissue reactions [40]. However, the molecular mechanisms governing SDF-1α-mediated reduction in fibrotic reactions to scaffold implants have yet to be determined.

It is well established that inflammatory products play an essential role in promoting tissue regeneration and angiogenesis. Though wound healing responses were impaired when treated with anti-inflammatory agents, such as dexamethasone [41]. However, our results show that SDF-1α can not only reduce inflammatory responses, but also promote tissue regeneration/angiogenesis. Many recent results may shed some lights on this interesting phenomenon. First, it was shown that SDF-1α is involved in the recruitment of CXCR4+ VEGFR1+ hematopoietic progenitors (hemangiocytes) which accelerate revascularization [42]. Second, SDF-1α regulates adhesion of stem cells in vitro and in vivo and promotes differentiation of CD34+ cells to endothelial progenitor cells [43]. Finally, a recent study has shown MSC secrete SDF-1α in culture and that MSC conditioned media concentrated and delivered to a wound site resulted in accelerated wound healing [44].

As previously mentioned, though long-term cytokine delivery is commonly included in tissue engineering designs, little consideration is generally given to the mechanisms governing their observed responses in lieu of long-term histological responses. Although various cytokines (including VEGF, PDGF, and FGF) have been incorporated into scaffolds to enhance angiogenesis [45,46], these investigations have not explicitly focused on how factors such as these may affect the cascade of the inflammatory and wound healing responses. Here we show that delivery of SDF-1α not only enhanced MSC and HSC migration, but also EPC (CD34+/CD133+) engraftment near the scaffold. In addition, many budding vessel formations identified in H&E stains can be identified through subsequent tissue sections and routinely labeled for CD31, α-SMA, and Laminin, in support of evidence which suggests EPC differentiation in angiogenesis to CD31+ and α-SMA+ cells [47]. The ability of SDF-1α to generate a proangiogenic environment is well supported by the results of a protein assay performed on the scaffolds and surrounding tissue after two weeks of implantation. Though the participation of recruited cells in angiogenesis was not determined, our findings here may provide a novel strategy to improve host responses to biomaterials though recruiting autologous stem cells for tissue regeneration while providing a suitable environment for transplanted cell-containing scaffold with a reduced fibrotic capsule and improved angiogenic environment.

5. Conclusion

In this study, we have provided evidence that delivery of SDF-1α can increase the local recruitment of stem cell populations (both MSC and HSC) to the site of porous scaffold implantation. In addition, SDF-1α treatment also affects the mast cell response resulting in a reduction in mast cell degranulation. These two factors lead to significant downstream alterations in the inflammatory and fibrotic responses, including an altered macrophage response, decreased inflammatory cell accumulation and encapsulation of the scaffolds. This is accompanied by increased wound healing and angiogenesis by decreased collagen deposition encapsulating the scaffold implants and improved vessel formation both at the interface and inside the porous scaffolds. These findings suggest that recruitment of host-derived stem cells may be a viable approach to improve both the tissue response and regenerative potential of tissue engineering scaffolds.
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Figures with essential color discrimination. Figs. 1–5, 7 and 8 in this article are difficult to interpret in black and white. The full color images can be found in the on-line version, at doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2010.01.144.
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